

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/770,618	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BLOCKER, TED	
	<b>Examiner</b> Susan C. Alimenti	<b>Art Unit</b> 3644	

**All Participants:**

(1) Susan C. Alimenti.

(2) Steve Hudnut.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 February 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*35 USC 102 over Wilself*

**Claims discussed:**

*23*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*Wilself*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Susan C. Alimenti*  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

*2/17/2006*

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Mr. Hudnut to discuss anticipation issues over Wilself that remained subsequent to the after final amendment filed 1/23/06. Several amendments were discussed by the examiner and Mr. Hudnut, finally reaching agreement with the present examiner's amendment, which is meant to modify the after final amendment..